

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,585	0	7/24/2001	Charles K. Snodgrass	2008.000482	6000
23720	7590	08/30/2004		EXAMINER	
WILLIAMS 10333 RICH		AN & AMERSON	TREAT, WI	TREAT, WILLIAM M	
HOUSTON,	,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			·	2183	

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/912,585	SNODGRASS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William M. Treat	2183				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 July 2001.						
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63-73 and 75</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>63-73 and 75</u> is/are rejected.						
_	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/912,585 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

1. Claims 63-73 and 75 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 73 recites the limitation "the second instruction" in lines 2 and 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 63-73 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Independent claims 63, 67, and 75 each contain the limitation, "loading the instruction pointer with the first loop instruction pointer value in response to the remaining number of iterations for the first loop equaling zero." Applicants' specification on page 22, lines 13 through 14, states: "When the loop counter 740 through 746 reaches zero, the loop has been completed, and the instruction pointer proceeds to the next microinstruction 600." The examiner interprets this to mean that when the first loop counter, which contains the number of times the first loop is to be repeated, equals zero, some value other than the value in the first loop instruction pointer would already be in the instruction pointer or would be loaded in the instruction pointer and not the value in the first loop instruction pointer as is being claimed by applicants. Applicants need to resolve this inconsistency between their specification and their claims. Note, too, that the same problem seems to exist in applicants' claims for a second loop, etc.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,585 Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

6. Claims 63-73 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements and/or steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements and/or steps are that which would resolve the inconsistency between applicants' specification and claims (see paragraph 5, *supra*.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 8. Claims 63-73 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In fact the specification seems to teach applicants' invention works in a manner contrary to what is being claimed by applicants (see paragraph 5, *supra*).
- 9. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, all the steps and/or elements of claims 63-73 and 75 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.
- 10. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing



Application/Control Number: 09/912,585 Page 4

Art Unit: 2183

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 11. The examiner is suggesting that a flowchart might best support applicants' claims. The flowchart should be clearly supported by applicants' current disclosure and make reference to specific elements from applicants' other figures and clearly delineate the steps applicants are claiming either explicitly or implicitly when arriving at their claim language. As it is, the figures seem inadequate to the task of showing the features of the claims. See paragraph 5, *supra*, in particular.
- 12. The examiner has not applied art to applicants' claims because he has been unable to determine what invention is being claimed by applicants due to their 35 USC 112, 1<sup>st</sup> and 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> problems.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M. Treat at telephone number 703 305 9699. The examiner works at home on Wednesdays but may normally be reached on Wednesdays by leaving a voice message using his office phone number.

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 09/912,585

Page 5

Art Unit: 2183

The examiner also works a flexible schedule but may normally be reached in the afternoon and evening on three of the four remaining weekdays.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W= ~~

WILLIAM M. TREAT PRIMARY EXAMINER